

GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BANGLADESH MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATIVES Local Government Engineering Department (LGED)

Agargaon, Sher-E-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207

Final Report on Study-03 'My Village -My Town' -Technical Assistance Project

"Feasibility Study for Rural Connectivity including Multi-Modal Transport System in Char and Haor Areas"

Fenchuganj Upazila, Sylhet



CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS iii Background iiii 1 Description of the Upazila 1 1.1 Geography and Demography 1 1.2 Education Features 1 1.3 Rural Road Communications 1 1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetl	G	LO	DSSARY	i				
Background iii 1 Description of the Upazila 1 1.1 Geography and Demography 1 1.2 Education Features 1 1.3 Rural Road Communications. 1 1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area. 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 <	A	BB	BREVIATIONS	ii				
1 Description of the Upazila 1 1.1 Geography and Demography 1 1.2 Education Features 1 1.3 Rural Road Communications 1 1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category. 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 <th>В</th> <th>ack</th> <th>sground</th> <th>iii</th>	В	ack	sground	iii				
1.1 Geography and Demography 1 1.2 Education Features 1 1.3 Rural Road Communications 1 1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity	1	Description of the Upazila 1						
1.2 Education Features		1.1	Geography and Demography					
1.3 Rural Road Communications 1 1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors / Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor / Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 </th <th></th> <th>1.2</th> <th>Education Features</th> <th></th>		1.2	Education Features					
1.4 Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries 1 1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar 2 2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 </th <th></th> <th>1.3</th> <th>Rural Road Communications</th> <th>1</th>		1.3	Rural Road Communications	1				
1.5 Growth Centre and Hatbazar		1.4	Agriculture, Food Production, and Fisheries	1				
2 Location of the Upazila 3 3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		1.5	Growth Centre and Hatbazar	2				
3 Approach & Methodology 4 3.1 Study Team Composition 4 3.2 Study Area 4 3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16	2]	Location of the Upazila					
3.1 Study Team Composition	3	I	Approach & Methodology	4				
3.2 Study Area		3.1	Study Team Composition					
3.3 Database Review and Analysis 4 3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Vilages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		3.2	2 Study Area					
3.4 Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects 5 3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization 5 3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		3.3	Database Review and Analysis	4				
3.5 Weightage Distribution for Prioritization		3.4	Prioritization Criteria of Sub-projects	5				
3.6 Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population 6 3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		3.5	Weightage Distribution for Prioritization	5				
3.7 Wrap Up Meeting 6 3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category. 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity. 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level. 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads. 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		3.6	Mapping Hard-to-reach Villages & Population	6				
3.8 Validation Workshop 6 3.9 National Workshop 7 4 Description of Wetlands 8 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands 8 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category. 9 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics 10 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages 11 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity. 11 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level. 12 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads. 15 6 Data Collection & Analysis 16 6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages: 16		3.7	Wrap Up Meeting	6				
3.9National Workshop		3.8	Validation Workshop	6				
4 Description of Wetlands		3.9	National Workshop	7				
 4.1 Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands	4]	Description of Wetlands					
 4.2 Haor/ Wetland Category		4.1	Distribution of the Haors/ Wetlands					
 4.3 Bio-Ecological Characteristics		4.2	Haor/ Wetland Category	9				
 5 Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages		4.3	Bio-Ecological Characteristics					
 5.1 Summary of the Village Connectivity	5]	Rural Road Connectivity to the Villages	11				
 5.2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level		5.1	Summary of the Village Connectivity	11				
 5.3 Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads		5.2	2 Villages and their connectivity – Union level					
 6 Data Collection & Analysis		5.3	Map of Hard-to-Reach Villages & Proposed Roads	15				
6.1 Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages:	6	1	Data Collection & Analysis	16				
		6.1	Proposed Roadway for Hard-to-Reach Villages:					
6.1.1 Proposed All-Weather Routes:		6	6.1.1 Proposed All-Weather Routes:	16				
6.1.2 Proposed Roads for Hard-to-Reach Villages Having No ID:		6	6.1.2 Proposed Roads for Hard-to-Reach Villages Having No ID:					
6.1.3 Priority for Road Development		6	6.1.3 Priority for Road Development	17				
8 Conclusion & Recommendations	8	(Conclusion & Recommendations	18				
Annexure - 1	A	nne	exure - 1	19				
Annexure - 2	A	nne	exure - 2	21				

List of Figures

Figure 1: Upazila Location Map	3
Figure 2: Prioritization indicators & their weightage values	6
Figure 3: Haor Distribution Map	8
Figure 4: Haor Category Map.	9
Figure 5: Bio Ecological Characteristics Map	10
Figure 6: Upazila Map	15

List of Tables

Table 1: Total villages in the unions and their connectivity	.11
Table 2: Union-wise connected & Hard-to-Reach Villages and their population	.12
Table 3: Summary of the rural roads in the Upazila	.16
Table 4: Proposed all-weather roads in the Upazila	.16
Table 5: Proposed roads for Hard-to-Reach Villages having No ID	.17
Table 6: Considered weightage values for the prioritization	.17

GLOSSARY

- **Hard-to-reach Villages** mean in the Study those villages that are not connected by any paved road with the respective Upazila HQ and/or Union Parishad, and to the nearest economic activity hub and social service centres. The following explanations are important for the concept of hard-to-reach villages.
 - ➢ In haor areas, Villages connected with submersible roads dry season and connected with Riverine/haor routes in the monsoon season have been considered accessible and excluded from hard-to-reach villages.
 - Within Upazila, Villages that need a bridge to connect have not been considered hard-to-reach villages.
 - In the case of island Upazilas, villages that are accessible with paved roads from Upazila HQ have been considered accessible and excluded from hard-to-reach villages.
 - In the case of villages that are connected with HBB (Herring-Bone-Bond) or Brick flat soling routes have been considered hard-to-reach villages
- Mauza Mauza is normally the geographical expression of a unit of landmass for revenue settlement and revenue collection, whereas, the village is a human settlement within a Mauza with a strong social bond. Within a Mauza there could be more than one village.
- UnionBangladesh has 3-tiers local government systems: District, Upazila, and Union.Union is the lowest level of local government below Upazila Parishad
- **Upazila** Sub-district; the third level of government administration below division and district.
- Hat Synonym of Bazar or market
- **Walking Trail** means in the Study the village pathway or access used for walking by commuters, in most cases does not have gazetted or established or commonly used alignment, and to the most, passable by bicycle or motorbike. These village trails are not passable by motorized jeeps or mini trucks or emergency service vehicles or not even any three-wheelers.

Unpaved Roads have no pavement or surface material. They are usually earthen roads.

- **Vulnerability** is the human dimension of risk that is defined as conditions determined by physical, social, economic, environmental, political, cultural, and institutional factors or processes that increase the likelihood of an individual or a community to the impacts of shocks and hazards.
- **Climate-Resilience** is expressed as the ability of a community to resist, absorb, adapt to and recover better from the impacts of disasters like floods and landslides in a sustainable way.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	 Asian Development Bank
BBS	– Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics
BC	– Bitumen Carpeting
DatEx	– Data Expert (Pvt.) Limited
DECL	– Delight Engineers and Construction Ltd.
DoE	– Department of Environment
DPHE	- Department of Public Health Engineering
DPP	 Development Project Proposal
FGD	– Focus Group Discussion
GIS	– Geographic Information System
GOB	– Government of Bangladesh
HBB	- Herring-Bone-Bond
HQ	– Headquarter
HTRV	– Hard-to-Reach Village
JV	– Joint Venture
KII	– Key Informant Interview
LGD	 Local Government Division
LGED	- Local Government Engineering Department
LGI	 Local Government Institute
MVMT	– My Village My Town
NGO	- Non-Government Organization
PD	 Project Director
PMO	 Project Management Office
RCC	- Reinforced Concrete
RHD	 Roads & Highways Department
SDGs	 Sustainable Development Goals
TA	- Technical Assistance Project
UE	– Upazila Engineer
UNDP	- United Nations Development Programme
UNO	– Upazila Nirbahi Officer
UNR	– Union Road
UP	– Union Parishad
UPZ	– Upazila Road
VRA	– Village Road A
VRB	– Village Road B

BACKGROUND

Context of the Project

The Government of Bangladesh made massive plans to ensure equitable development around the country. Under this development philosophy, the GoB requirements are to reduce the ruralurban divide to foster developmental benefits for all citizens. As part of this, the government declared an election manifesto on the eve of the national parliament election 2018 uniting the theme **Bangladesh on the March Towards Prosperity** aiming at transforming Bangladesh into a developed nation by 2041. Under this, villages have been considered the basic unit of prosperity for building a developed nation.

This firm commitment was declared following the light of the philosophy of the Father of the Nation Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman to build 'Sonar Bangla' (Golden Bangla) through inclusiveness, balanced, and development for all.

Following the philosophy of the Father of the Nation, the government declared its election manifesto 2018 titled 'My Village-My Town'- Extension of Modern Civic Amenities in Every village. The Local Government Division under the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives has prepared a comprehensive work plan to make this election commitment a reality. The Local Government Division with its two agencies, the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) and Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE) has undertaken a technical assistance project named 'My Village-My Town'-Technical Assistance Project. Under this project, 36 studies and 30 guidelines are being developed on eight thematic components related to the mandate of the Local Government Division. The eight thematic components are Rural Communications, Growth Centre and Hat Bazar, Rural Water Supply and Sanitation, Rural Waste Management, Community Space and Recreation Facilities, Upazila Masterplan, Rural Housing and Capacity building of Upazila and Union Parishad. Besides this, a coordination framework is being developed among the other ministries involved in the implementation of the My Village-My Town election manifesto. It is notable to mention that a coordination committee has been formed comprising 21 ministries to implement the program in a coordinated way under the leadership of the Minster of Local Government, Rural Development, and Co-operatives.

Context of the Report

This report is a part of the study of the component 'Rural Connectivity'. Rural connectivity is the basic of all amenities in the villages. Rural connectivity works as the conduit that can supply a number of bare necessities such as access to the market, health, education, employment etc. In general, Bangladesh has remarkable progress in rural connectivity. Instead of this, a number of regions of the country are geographically sensitive where rural connectivity is not easy and has a lot of challenges. These regions are -Haor, Beel, Hills, Chars, islands etc. The people residing in these regions have considerably low access to civic amenities compared to other villages of the country. Therefore, the study and plan development of improvement of rural connectivity is one of the important assignments of the technical assistance project. The project undertook an Upazila-based special study on the villages of these geographically sensitive regions that are mentioned before.

This report contains the rural connectivity status and priority plan of the **Fenchuganj** Upazila of **Sylhet** District.

1 DESCRIPTION OF THE UPAZILA

1.1 GEOGRAPHY AND DEMOGRAPHY

The geographical area of Fenchuganj Upazila is 114.09 square kilometers and has 3 unions, 29 mauzas, and 89 villages. The Upazila is 27km away from the district headquarters of Sylhet. Fenchuganj is partly covered by 4 major haors/ wetlands and there exist Kusiara river flowing over the Upazila. The total population of Upazila is 104741 of which 51077 are male and 53664 females, the total number of households are 18859 and the average household size is 5.5 with a population density of 918 (as per population census 2011).

1.2 EDUCATION FEATURES

According to the information of the relevant local government offices, there are 36 government & 14 non-government primary schools in the Upazila. On the other hand, Fenchuganj has 11 non-government with no government high school, 2 private colleges, and 6 madrasahs. The literacy rate for the Upazila is 49.8% as per BBS 2011.

1.3 RURAL ROAD COMMUNICATIONS

Bangladesh scored in the rural accessibility index at around 87 percent among South Asian and some other African countries. Generally, the people of Bangladesh get all-weather within 2 kilometers adjacent to their living places. But the feature of rural road communications in Fenchuganj Upazila is contrasted. There are many villages, disconnected from the developed paved road network that brings huge suffering for the people of the villages. The total rural road network of Fenchuganj is 202.24 km and out of which, 94.73 km are paved and 107.51 km earthen.

1.4 AGRICULTURE, FOOD PRODUCTION, AND FISHERIES

Though the aggregate (coarse sand, stone chips & stone) business is the main source of income for the inhabitants, agriculture too has major importance for the economy of the people of Fenchuganj. There are 28289 acres of arable land in Upazila. In the fiscal year 2010-11, the Rice production was 6375, 10535 & 13393 metric tons for Aus, Aman & Boro seasons respectively. The Upazila also produced 164 metric tons of Potato, and 6 metric tons of Oil seed in the same fiscal year 2010-11. A notable quantity of vegetables is also produced here in this Upazila.

According to the BBS (2011) data, Fenchuganj has 42715.48 acre of haor, pond & dighee that produced 1439.89 metric tons of fish. There also exist 127 poultry & 32 dairy farms.

All the agricultural products are adequate to meet the demand of the Upazila and surpluses are sold outside of Fenchuganj.

1.5 GROWTH CENTRE AND HATBAZAR

Growth Centre and Rural Hatbazar are one of the main centers of the rural economy. Hatbazar is like the heart of the development of the rural economy. Rural Hatbazar plays a role in increasing production and creating employment impacting the supply chain of agriculture and non-agriculture products. There are 9 Hatbazar and 2 growth centers in Upazila. The structural development of Hatbazar and its growth is pivotal to boosting the rural economy. Details of the growth center & hatbazar of the Upazila have been attached in <u>Annexure-1</u>.

2 LOCATION OF THE UPAZILA

Fenchuganj Upazila of Sylhet district in the North-Eastern part of the country. The location has been shown on the map. Flash floods induce severe impacts on both the built and the natural environment. The effects of flash floods can be catastrophic and show extensive diversity, ranging from damages to buildings and infrastructure to impacts on vegetation, human lives, and livestock.



Figure 1: Upazila Location Map

3 APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

2.1 STUDY TEAM COMPOSITION

A team consisting of Senior Rural Road Infrastructure Specialist, Associate Rural Infrastructure Specialist, and Assistant Engineer engaged by the Project Management Office (PMO) conducted the study. On the other

Office (PMO) conducted the study. On the other hand, A team consisting of Deputy Team Leader cum Rural Infrastructure Engineer, Junior GIS expert, and Junior Engineer engaged by consulting firm (datEx & DECL JV) conducted the study. A participatory approach to review the database and identify priority transport infrastructure needs ('sub-projects) was instrumental. At the stakeholder consultation meeting held in each district, the database was reviewed, and priority needs were identified and together mapped working with the LGI representatives and LGED technical team. LGED

Stakeholder Consultation Meeting

- Hard-to-reach Village Database and database of sub-projects reviewed
- Prioritization of sub-projects for each Upazila with LGI and LGED representatives
 - Hard-to-reach villages and priority sub-projects mapped in the LGED GIS Map

and LGI representatives surveyed each Upazila for the collection of detailed observations and validations of the proposed priority needs.

2.2 STUDY AREA

The study was conducted in 72 Upazilas of haor areas, 3 Upazilas of Beel areas, 8 Upazilas of Char areas, and 4 Upazilas of Island areas during the period from December 2021 to June 2022. Apart from this, the PMO team conducted the study in 50 Upazilas of Haor areas, and the rest of the Upazilas of Haor, Beel, Char, and Island areas are conducted by the consulting firm team. The Upazila technical staff of LGED supported in organizing stakeholder consultation meetings and in database review and mapping the Hard-to-reach villages and population during this study period. They also supported the field work and authentication check by visits to the sub-projects in their respective Upazila and looking at the feasibility of some proposed sub-projects from technical, social, and environmental perspectives.

2.3 DATABASE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

The study applied both qualitative (e.g., focused group discussions and in-depth case study fieldwork) and quantitative (structured and semi-structured interviews) approaches and methods in reviewing and conducting fieldwork in all the haor areas to understand the need and impact of rural accessibility in remote village contexts. The most applied methods in the reviewing and conducting fieldwork were:

- Key Informants Interview (KII)
- Focus Group Discussion (FGD)
- Case Study for authentication check and individual sub-project feasibility study.

- Review the Hard-to-reach village database at the 'Stakeholder consultation meeting' participated by local government representatives such as the UP chairman and members, Upazila chairman, vice-chairman, and UNO.
- FGD and KII were conducted using a checklist. Composition in the FGD included local community people: male and female, teachers, local farmers, traders, and students depending on availability.
- ✤ KIIs of Union Chairman, Upazila chairman, UNO male and female, and teacher depending on availability.
- Authentication and feasibility check by visits to the sub-projects reviewed and listed for the 40 selected case study unions under the MVMT project.
- Survey with GPS machine and Google apps in collecting Hard-to-reach village locations, landmarks, chainage at gaps, village road at sections vulnerable to land erosion damage, narrow existing width or sharp slope location.

2.4 **PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA OF SUB-PROJECTS**

Prepare a priority list of sub-projects by Upazilas that includes gazette ID roads and nongazette roads (No ID) with attributes like name and number of villages and population. The criteria used in the prioritization are described below.

- Population, travel time needed from the remotest villages to the Upazila HQ, road type, and cost per km per 1000 population are the indicators weighted giving a value in a formula.
- Priority is given to single connection with no alternative transport road and multi-modal transport route to connecting the villages with Upazila HQ and Union Parishad, growth centre and important markets and social service centres; villages with a higher population and travel time get higher weightage;
- Priority is given to roads, ghats, and collection points that will facilitate agricultural diversification, reduce transportation costs, ensure a fair price and create a farm and non-farm employment and income;
- Priority is given to roads development and inland waterways dredging that will enable year-round mobility of the general public and villagers, in particular, health workers, teachers and students, and tourists to facilitate tourism development, quality education, and better health service in the district and region;
- Special priority is given to sub-projects of roads, Riverine routes/inland waterways that will mainstream deprived communities living in the hard-to-reach villages;
- Identified sub-projects with more cost-effectiveness than others using per km per 1000 population cost for each sub-project;
- Every sub-project(s) is to be climate-resilient, sustainable, and cost-effective.

2.5 WEIGHTAGE DISTRIBUTION FOR PRIORITIZATION

The approaches and methodologies of the Study for reviewing database and prioritization are synthesized in the diagrams below, noting that the proposed sub-projects of roads in the databases are prioritized based on weightage calculated on the set criteria (as shown in the diagram). People's demands and local needs are reflected in the prioritization which was determined by the Study, working together with LGI representatives and LGED field-level technical staff at the stakeholder consultation meetings held in each Upazila.



Figure 2: Prioritization indicators & their weightage values

2.6 MAPPING HARD-TO-REACH VILLAGES & POPULATION

- With the active support of LGED technical staff, first, draw every priority listed MVMT roads and Hard-to-reach villages on the LGED GIS map in presence of the union Chairman and members who know the sub-project and are the best. This was not in scale but approximation was reached by triangulation. Then digitized on screen using GIS, validate with Google map, and checked with data and information on important features and points collected using GPS during field visits.
- There were challenges in deciding on starting node and/or zero chainage of the nongazette (No ID) road because not all non-gazette sub-projects were visited and surveyed by the Study team.

2.7 WRAP UP MEETING

Wrap up the fieldwork progress at each district holding a meeting chaired by the Executive Engineer, LGED, and participated by all Upazila engineers of the respective district. On completion of the fieldwork, this was conducted to share issues and updates to the district Executive Engineer for feedback and action, as necessary.

2.8 VALIDATION WORKSHOP

On completion of data analysis and drafting of the database and mapping, the Study outputs were shared with the respective districts and Upazilas for final review. The later validation workshop was held at the respective district on the Draft Report to share and validate the findings. This was participated by the LGED Division, District and Upazila officials, and technical staff.

2.9 NATIONAL WORKSHOP

The Draft Report is finalized, incorporating comments received from the validation workshop, LGED head office staff, and the PMO office. A National Level workshop was held at LGED HQ for sharing and disseminating the results of the Study.

4 **DESCRIPTION OF WETLANDS**

3.1 DISTRIBUTION OF THE HAORS/ WETLANDS

The Upazila has a large portion of flash flood zone that covers almost 30% of its land area. The roads within the flood zone become inundated during monsoon, which requires attention to take relevant measures during road construction and maintenance.



Figure 3: Haor Distribution Map

3.2 HAOR/ WETLAND CATEGORY

However, in the north of the Upazila, it is Floodplain, in the south Unplanned Hill and partially is within foothill range. Therefore, road or other infrastructure development in this Upazila have several major environmental consequences.



Figure 4: Haor Category Map.

3.3 **BIO-ECOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS**

The Bio-ecological characteristics map of the Upazila has been shown below. The map shows that it is Sylhet Hills almost half of the area & the other part is under Haor Basin. Therefore, adequate openings for the road and road structures should be maintained.



Figure 5: Bio Ecological Characteristics Map

5 RURAL ROAD CONNECTIVITY TO THE VILLAGES

4.1 SUMMARY OF THE VILLAGE CONNECTIVITY

The feature of rural road communications in Fenchuganj Upazila is contrasted. Out of 88 villages, 5 are disconnected from the developed paved road network which brings huge suffering for the people of those villages. The total rural road network of Fenchuganj is 202.24 km and out of which, 94.73 km are paved and 107.51 km earthen.

SL No	Union	No of Villages	Connected Villages	Disconnected Villages
1	Fenchuganj	38	36	2
2	Gilachhara	22	21	1
3	Maijgaon	28	26	2
	Total	88	83	5

 Table 1: Total villages in the unions and their connectivity

4.2 VILLAGES AND THEIR CONNECTIVITY – UNION LEVEL

The consultant arranged a meeting at the Upazila conference room with and all the UP Chairman & their secretaries. The team interviewed a representative of each union and collected data about the HTRV.

Union	Sl.No	Connected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)	Disconnected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)
	1	Atghar	468	Gayasi	680
	2	Badar Tila	299	Kurkuchir Par	277
	3	Baidyanathpur	503		
	4	Chhattish	5530		
	5	Dandipara	724		
	6	Dinpur	1389		
	7	Elashpur	1575		
	8	Fakirpara	1581		
	9	Fenchugang Bazar	2375		
	10	Gangapur	587		
	11	Gazipur	430		
	12	Golaghat	310		
	13	Islampur	1303		
	14	Jahaj Gudam	362		
	15	K.m. Tila	374		
	16	Katalpur	2978		
inj	17	Kayestagram	1551		
nga	18	Kazibaria	329		
nch	19	Khil Para	1912		
Fei	20	Kutubpur	1324		
	21	Lama Gangpar	1169		
	22	Mahidpur	1660		
	23	Mallikpur	1621		
	24	Manur Tak	141		
	25	Mogalpur	482		
	26	Naya tila	355		
	27	Niz Chhatish	1098		
	28	Pathan Chalk	1276		
	29	Pathantila	619		
	30	Pitai Tikar	3505		
	31	Purbakandi	532		
	32	Razanpur	1707		
	33	Salehpur	338		
	34	Tafadar Tila	318		
	35	Ujan Gangpar	603		
	36	Uttar islampur	576		
		Sub Total	41904		957
Gil ac bh	1	Ambari	1354	Surikandi	303

Table 2: Union-wise connected & Hard-to-Reach Villages and their population

Union	Sl.No	Connected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)	Disconnected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)
	2	Ashighar	3975		
	3	Bade Deuli	4233		
	4	Barabai	78		
	5	Dakshin Dharan	559		
	6	Dhaluchhara	139		
	7	Dharan	448		
	8	Gazipur	765		
	9	Ghilachhara	2139		
	10	Judhishthipur	4099		
	11	Khatkhal	1206		
	12	Krishnapur	21		
	13	Kurbanpur	621		
	14	Manik Kona	4390		
	15	Mirzapur	589		
	16	Mominchhara	992		
	17	Monipur	2208		
	18	Morapur Chabagan	722		
	19	Purba Judhishthipur	2892		
	20	Sailkandi	406		
		Sub Total	31836		303
	1	Barhal	568	Kachua Bahar	2506
	2	Brahmanpara	314	Mirzapur	337
	3	Chandpur	1233		
	4	Cheragi	320		
	5	Danaram	795		
	6	Fakir Para	772		
	7	Faridpur	956		
	8	Fulbari	632		
	9	Garulikona	1477		
_	10	Hatubhanga	634		
aor	11	Karimpur	414		
aijg	12	Karmada	1559		
M	13	Kayestagram	2005		
	14	Kumarpara	235		
	15	Maijgram	1628		
	16	Majhergaon	582		
	17	Narayanpur	448		
	18	Nizampur	1259		
	19	Noagaon	956		
	20	Noorpur	2664		
	21	Puran Bazar	561		
	22	Purangaon	662		
	23	Rokanpur	259		

Union	Sl.No	Connected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)	Disconnected Villages	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)
	24	Sarkarkhana	4334		
	25	Sharifganj	1267		
	26	Uttargaon	364		
		Sub Total	26898		2843



4.3 MAP OF HARD-TO-REACH VILLAGES & PROPOSED ROADS

Figure 6: Upazila Map

6 DATA COLLECTION & ANALYSIS

The consultant team collected data from the field on Hard-to-Reach Villages. Data were collected from those villages including population, road alignment information (*type, length, condition*), travel time, a structure required on the alignment, potential Riverine routes that can be used for a multi-modal transport system etc. The data were then analyzed, categorized, and finally used to obtain a priority list along with a map (*Figure:5*) showing prioritized roads and Hard-to-Reach Villages. The proposed Riverine & road connectivity by the UE office have been discussed in this section.

5.1 **PROPOSED ROADWAY FOR HARD-TO-REACH VILLAGES:**

At present, roads are the most dominant mode of transportation. Most of the structures were built on the rivers to make road communication effective.

Total Road Length of the Upazila (KM)	ength of (KM) Paved Length (KM) I		Length of unpaved roads of Hard-to-Reach Villages (KM)
202.24	94.73	107.51	21.00

Table 3: Summary of the rural roads in the Upazila

5.1.1 **PROPOSED ALL-WEATHER ROUTES:**

There are 5 all-weather roads proposed to connect the HTRV within the Upazila. The road name, ID, road condition, and the length of the unpaved roads are as bellow;

Sl. No	Road Name	Road ID	Road Condition	Unpaved length (Km)
1	Kachua Bahar connecting road	4041	All Weather	4
2	Kurkuchir Par connecting road	No ID	All Weather	4
3	Gayasi connecting road	5009	All Weather	5
4	Surikandi connecting road	4029	All Weather	5
5	Mirzapur connecting road	4006	All Weather	3

 Table 4: Proposed all-weather roads in the Upazila

5.1.2 **PROPOSED ROADS FOR HARD-TO-REACH VILLAGES HAVING NO ID:**

There are 1 road proposed to connect the HTRV within the Upazila that have no ID yet. The road name, ID, and the length of the unpaved part are as bellow;

SI No	Road Name	Road ID	Road Type by Surface Condition	Unpaved length (km)
1	Kurkuchir Par connecting road	No ID	All Weather	4

Table 5: Proposed roads for Hard-to-Reach Villages having No ID

5.1.3 PRIORITY FOR ROAD DEVELOPMENT

Considering resources constraint, benefited a group of people, the time required to travel & road hierarchy, a priority list has been developed (<u>Annexure-2</u>) for the HTRV (Hard to Reach Villages). The priority score has been determined according to following

Criteria	Weightage
Population	30
Travel Time	20
Cost per 1000 Population	25
Road Type	25
Total=	100

Table 6: Considered weightage values for the prioritization

It has been observed that there are a number of roads that bear the same score. In these cases, the minimum budget required for providing connectivity to thousands of people- will get more priority compared to more budget-required roads. The roads bearing ID will have higher priority than the roads without ID.

7 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

- Fenchuganj Upazila is located within the *Flood Plain and Sylhet foothill zone*. Fenchuganj is partly covered by 4 major haors/ wetlands and there exist Kusiara river flowing over the Upazila that dominate the ecosystem, and transport system of the Upazila. During monsoons, these Rivers carry a huge volume of flood water.
- As the Upazila is heavily flooded during monsoon, rural roads and structures are highly vulnerable in this Upazila.
- The Upazila has a total number of 5 Hard-to-Reach Villages. To develop rural connectivity, there are proposals for both all-weather and submersible roads. This report contains a list of roads with their priority. The priority has been determined based on Population, Travel Time, Cost per KM/1000 people & Road Hierarchy.
- This Upazila is highly vulnerable to disasters. Due to climate change, vulnerability is getting intense. The year 2022 has shown catastrophic flood that was not seen over the last 18 years (*last in 2004 similar to 1998 & 1988*). Therefore, it is highly recommended to study the road alignments before going for investment.
- Case-by-case design of roads in this Upazila considering different aspects such as exposure to floods, erosion etc. is highly recommended. A special study regarding the road and structure design of the Upazila Fenchuganj in Sylhet district is highly recommended.

DETAILS OF GROWTH CENTER & HATBAZAR

			Market		Market	Market					La	nd Area	(Acre)			
Sl. No.	Union	Market Name	Category (GC=Growt h Center, HB=Hat Bazar)	Market Listed? (Yes/ No)	Category (General/ Special/ Collection center)	Category (Wholesale/ Retail/both)	Hat Day	Chandin a Viti (Number)	Chandina Viti (Land)	Chandina Viti (Shop)	Toha	Khas	Private	Lease/ Khas Collection BDT (2020)	Lease/ Khas Collection BDT (2019)	
1	Fenchuganj	Sener bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Both	2	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.06 0.00		101110	79150	
2	Fenchuganj	Dakshin fenchuganj bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Both	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.18	0.00	42500	42500	
3	Fenchuganj	Biali bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00 0.00		0.00	0	0	
4	Fenchuganj	Fenchuganj bazar	GC	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
5	Gilachhara	Ghater bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.24	0.00	34500	33500	
6	Gilachhara	Manikgonj bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	1.09	55	0.23	1.38	0.00	15500	15000	
7	Gilachhara	Ashighar bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00 0.14 0.00		9600	2968	
8	Gilachhara	Ghilachara bazar	GC	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.42	0.00	0	0	
9	Gilachhara	Mokam bazar	HB	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.22	0.00	0	0	
10	Gilachhara	Korali bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
11	Gilachhara	Morer bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00 0.00 0		0	0	
12	Maijgaon	Biali bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00 0.00 0.00		0	0		
13	Maijgaon	Donaram noya bazar	НВ	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00 0.00 0.00		0	0		
14	Maijgaon	Jetighat bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
15	Maijgaon	Maizgaon bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
16	Maijgaon	Naya bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
17	Maijgaon	Palbari bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
18	Maijgaon	Puran bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
19	Maijgaon	Sharkarkhana bazar	HB	No	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	

			Market		Market	Market					La	nd Area	(Acre)			
Sl. No.	Union	Market Name	Category (GC=Growt h Center, HB=Hat Bazar)	Market Listed? (Yes/ No)	Category (General/ Special/ Collection center)	Category (Wholesale/ Retail/both)	Hat Day	Chandin a Viti (Number)	Chandina Viti (Land)	Chandina Viti (Shop)	Toha	Khas	Private	Lease/ Khas Collection BDT (2020)	Lease/ Khas Collection BDT (2019)	
20	Ghilachara Union	Amirganj bazar	НВ	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.03	0.00	0	0	
21	Ghilachara Union	Pargona bazar	НВ	Yes	General Market	Wholesale	1	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.03	0.00	26000	23000	

PRIORITY LIST FOR ROAD DEVELOPMENT

SL No	Upazila	Connecting Union	Connecting Village	Village Population BBS 2011	Population 2021 (Based on BBS 2011)	Road Name	Road ID	Road Type	Road Type by Surface Condition	Total Road Length	Paved length (Km)	HBB Length (km)	Unpaved length (Km)	Total Road Length to be Developed	HBB + Unpaved in (km)	Approx. Cost of Road (Lac)	Structure/ Gap (Meter)	Cost of Structure (in Lac)	Total Cost (in lac) (Roads + Structures)	Population /KM (10÷17)	Tentative Budget/1000 Population (in lac)	Travel Time (in min)	Weightage for Population	Weightage for Travel Time	Weightage for Cost per 1000 Pop	Weightage for Road Type	Total Weightage	Priority
1	Fenchuganj	Maijgaon	Kachua Bahar	2506	2871	Kachua Bahar connecting road	4041	VRB	All Weather	4.00			4.00	4.00	4.00	480.00		0.00	480.00	718	167.17	60.00	20	16	25	12	73	1
2	Fenchuganj	Maijgaon	Mirzapur	337	386	Mirzapur connecting road	4006	VRB	All Weather	3.00			3.00	3.00	3.00	360.00		0.00	360.00	129	932.35	45.00	15	10	20	12	57	2
3	Fenchuganj	Fenchuganj	Kurkuchir Par	277	317	Kurkuchir Par connecting road	No ID	VRB	All Weather	4.00			4.00	4.00	4.00	480.00		0.00	480.00	79	1512.40	60.00	15	16	12	12	55	3
4	Fenchuganj	Fenchuganj	Gayasi	680	779	Gayasi connecting road	5009	VRB	All Weather	5.00			5.00	5.00	5.00	600.00	150.00	1350.00	1950.00	156	2502.83	75.00	15	16	10	12	53	4
5	Fenchuganj	Gilachhara	Surikandi	303	347	Surikandi connecting road	4029	VRB	All Weather	5.00			5.00	5.00	5.00	600.00	150.00	1350.00	1950.00	69	5616.91	75.00	15	16	10	12	53	5